

Fourth Statutory Review of Electoral Arrangements

North Ayrshire Council Area



Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland

Fourth Statutory Review of Electoral Arrangements

North Ayrshire Council Area

Constitution of the Commission

Chairman: Mr John L Marjoribanks

Deputy Chairman: Mr Brian Wilson OBE

Commissioners: Professor Hugh M Begg

Dr A Glen

Mr K McDonald

Mr R Millham

Report Number E06021

May 2006

Contents	Page
Summary	Page 7
Part 1 Background	Pages 9 – 14
	Paragraphs
Origin of the Review	1
The Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004	2 – 4
Commencement of the 2004 Act	5
Directions from Scottish Ministers	6 – 9
Announcement of our Review	10 – 16
General Issues	17 – 18
Definition of Electoral Ward Boundaries	19 – 24
Electorate Data used in the Review	25 – 26
Part 2 The Review in North Ayrshire Council Area	Pages 15 – 22
	Paragraphs
Meeting with the North Ayrshire Council	1 – 3
Concluded View of the Council	4 – 5
Aggregation of Existing Wards	6 – 8
Initial Proposals	9 – 12
Informing the Council of our Initial Proposals	13 – 14
The North Ayrshire Council Response	15 – 20
Consideration of the Council Response to the Initial Proposals	21 – 26
Provisional Proposals	27 – 31
Representations	32
Consideration of Representations	33 – 37
Part 3 Final Recommendation	Pages 23 – 24
Appendices	Pages 25 – 43
Appendix A Extract from Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004	26 – 27
Appendix B Directions to the Commission	28 – 30
Appendix C Directions to Councils	31
Appendix D Schedule 6 Rules	32
Appendix E Review Timetable	33 – 34
Appendix F Illustrative Maps	35 – 43

Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland

Mr Tom McCabe MSP
Minister for Finance and Public Service Reform

We, the Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland, present our proposals for future electoral arrangements for North Ayrshire Council area resulting from our review of local government electoral arrangements as required by section 4 (1) of the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004.

In accordance with the provisions of section 18(3) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, as amended, copies of our report, together with illustrative maps, are being sent to North Ayrshire Council with a request that the report and maps are made available for public inspection at their offices. In addition copies of our report and maps in the form of PDF images on CDROM are being sent to those who received a copy of our consultation letter. Further, those who made representations during the 12-week public consultation exercise have been informed that the report has been published.

Notice is being given in newspapers circulating in the North Ayrshire Council area that the report has been made and that interested parties may inspect the report and maps at offices designated by the Council throughout its area or by accessing these documents on our web site.



John L Marjoribanks
Chairman
for Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland



Bob Smith
Secretary
Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland
3 Drumsheugh Gardens
Edinburgh
EH3 7QJ

secretariat@lgbc-scotland.gov.uk
www.lgbc-scotland.gov.uk

May 2006



Summary

- The review was called for by section 4 of the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004.
Part 1, paragraph 1
- The first Commencement Order, which signalled the start of the review process, was dated 20 August 2004.
Part 1, paragraph 5
- Directions from the Scottish Ministers were issued to the Commission on 30 August 2004.
Part 1, paragraph 6
- Our review of electoral arrangements was announced in a letter dated 6 September 2004 sent to each Chief Executive of the 32 Councils.
Part 1, paragraphs 10 – 16
- The ward boundaries proposed by our review are based on topographical identifiers contained in the Ordnance Survey MasterMap large-scale digital plans.
Part 1, paragraphs 19 – 24
- The July 2004 electoral register provided the base electorate for the review.
Part 1, paragraphs 25 – 26
- Our first meeting with North Ayrshire Council took place on 12 October 2004 at the Council headquarters in Irvine.
Part 2, paragraphs 1 – 3
- The concluded view of the Council following our first meeting was received by us on 8 November 2004.
Part 2, paragraphs 4 – 5
- We provided the Council with our initial proposals for 8 wards in North Ayrshire Council area on 7 March 2005 and asked that a response be made within 2 months.
Part 2, paragraphs 13 – 14
- The North Ayrshire Council response to our initial proposals was received on 9 May 2005.
Part 2, paragraphs 15 – 20
- We published our provisional proposals for 8 wards electing 30 Councillors on 23 June 2005 and asked that representations be made to us by 15 September 2005.
Part 2, paragraphs 27 – 31
- We received 8 representations during the statutory consultation period of 12 weeks.
Part 2, paragraph 32
- Our consideration of representations.
Part 2, paragraphs 33 – 37
- We recommend 2 x three member wards and 6 x four member wards for North Ayrshire Council area thereby returning a total of 30 elected members.
Part 3, paragraph 1

Part 1 Background

Origin of the Review

1. The Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004 introduced proportional representation for local authority elections. As a consequence, we were required to review electoral arrangements for all local authorities in Scotland for the elections due to take place in May 2007. This Report presents our findings and recommendations resulting from the review of North Ayrshire Council area called for by section 4(1) of the 2004 Act.

The Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004

2. The 2004 Act made a number of changes to the existing legislation, the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, as amended. These changes are set out at Appendix A. However, some of the changes have a particular impact on the review process and it is perhaps worth noting the following provisions:

- at least 2 months before taking any steps to inform other persons of our draft proposals, or any interim decision not to make proposals, we should inform the Council of our proposals (or any decision not to make proposals); and
- before informing any other person of our initial draft proposals, we should take into consideration any representation made by the Council during the period of 2 months beginning on the day on which the Council was informed of our initial draft proposal.

3. Schedule 6 to the 1973 Act, as amended, was further amended by the 2004 Act so that:

- at 1(2), the number calculated by dividing the number of local government electors in each electoral ward of a local government area by the number of councillors to be returned in that ward shall be, as nearly as may be, the same; and
- at 1(3)(b), any local ties which would be broken by the fixing of any particular boundary but if, in any case, there is a conflict between those criteria, greater weight shall be given to the latter.

4. The full text of the Schedule 6 rules as amended is set out at Appendix D.

Commencement of the 2004 Act

5. The Minister for Finance and Public Services signed the first Commencement Order in relation to the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004 on 19 August 2004. As a consequence, Sections 4(1) to (4), 5(a) to (c) and (f) (Reviews of electoral arrangements) and Section 6 (Interpretation of Part 1) of the 2004 Act came into force on 20 August 2004. The Commencement Order also stated that, in exercising the functions conferred under the provisions referred to above, Scottish Ministers and the Commission should act on the assumption that the provisions of Part 1 of the 2004 Act are in force for all purposes.

Directions from Scottish Ministers

6. On 30 August 2004, Scottish Ministers issued Directions to us in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 18(2A) and 18(2B) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, as amended. The Directions apply during the current review to any consultation conducted by us in accordance with Section 18(2)(a) of the 1973 Act, with the Council of any local government area affected by a review under Part II of that Act. A copy of these Directions is at Appendix B.

7. Amongst other things, the Directions required us to inform each Council, within 14 days of the date of the Directions, in writing, in a letter sent by recorded delivery addressed to the Chief Executive, of the:

- timetable we proposed for the consultation;
- timetable we proposed for the review;
- general approach we proposed to adopt in formulating proposals for ward boundaries;
- information we would like to have available to use in formulating our proposals;
- assistance which each Council would be asked to render to us in the process of review; and
- such other matters we felt appropriate.

8. On 30 August 2004, Scottish Ministers similarly issued Directions to Councils that they should cooperate with us in the conduct of our review and, in particular, that they should:

- establish and maintain an ongoing dialogue which, where appropriate, may include meetings with us;
- take all reasonable steps to ensure that any views expressed, or purporting to be expressed, by or on behalf of the Council to us are accurate;
- provide us with all such information as we may reasonably request; and
- provide us with all such information that the Council considered relevant, including any proposals or ideas a Council may have in relation to new ward boundaries, or otherwise in relation to the formulation of our proposals.

9. A copy of the Directions to Councils is set out at Appendix C.

Announcement of our Review

10. We announced the start of our review of electoral arrangements in a letter to the Chief Executive of North Ayrshire Council dated 6 September 2004. With regard to our initial consultation, we informed the Chief Executive that paragraph 7 (subject to paragraph 9) of the Ministerial Directions to us mentioned above stipulated that we should meet with the Council no later than 12 weeks from the date of our letter. We were required to offer each Council two dates for such a meeting and that such a meeting should take place during the Council's ordinary working hours.

11. We proposed that our meeting with North Ayrshire Council should take place on either 12 October 2004 at 14:00 hrs or 13 October 2004 at 14:00 hrs. We asked the Council to inform us of any other dates within the 12-week period determined by the Directions which should be avoided in the event of our initial dates being unsuitable. We noted that we expected that meetings would be held at the Council's offices but offered, if necessary, to accommodate a meeting at our offices in Edinburgh.
12. With regard to the proposed meeting, we informed the Chief Executive that we would be represented by a Commissioner accompanied by a member or members of our Secretariat. We asked for facilities to be made available which would allow us to make a short presentation to provide background information and to illustrate how we proposed to undertake the review.
13. With our letter we enclosed an outline timetable (Appendix E) which set out the main stages for our review. We also set out details of the general approach which we intended to adopt in considering how revised electoral wards suitable for returning 3 or 4 elected members might be constructed within the terms of the statutory rules, whilst seeking better to reflect local perceptions of natural communities where possible and practicable to do so. We set out our proposal to use information from the July 2004 electoral register as the base electorate for our review and our intention to make available to the Council geographic information systems (GIS) data files containing counts of electors for each postcode within the Council area which would facilitate spatial analysis of these data.
14. Bearing in mind the importance we attached to perceptions of community focus and our concept of the contribution that this focus might make to the delineation of more stable boundaries in the longer term, we asked the Council to identify and map what it considered to be the boundaries between communities within its area. We made it clear that we were particularly interested in such boundaries being identified within contiguous built-up areas, such settlements, or groups of settlements, being likely to merit more than one multi-member ward. We also asked for information which would allow us to make a forecast of the electorate within the Council area at 2009, as required by the legislation.
15. We asked the Council to nominate an official as the recognised point of contact so that the close working relationship necessary to conduct a successful review could be established. We asked if the Council would confirm whether the locations used at the last review in 1996/1998 to deposit material for public consultation remained appropriate and if they would provide details of alternatives where necessary. We also asked for a list of the newspapers circulating within the Council area normally used for the publication of Statutory Notices.
16. We asked the Chief Executive if, prior to our proposed meeting, we could be informed of any particular issues that the Council might wish to discuss with us.

General Issues

17. Three developments have made it possible for us to reconsider the process and practices of reviewing local government electoral arrangements and of accurately defining the boundaries of proposed wards. Firstly, the availability of computer-based geographic information systems (GIS) provides us with the ability to analyse a variety of localised data and then to develop and explore options for revised electoral ward geography. Secondly, the GIS technology, used in conjunction with Ordnance Survey (OS) large-scale digital data also allows for the boundaries of wards to be more precisely defined than has hitherto been possible – thus obviating the need for written boundary descriptions. Thirdly, the Representation of the People (Scotland) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 provide us, for the first time, with formal access to information contained in electoral registers and,

importantly, allow us to obtain that information in an electronic format which can be managed and spatially examined by means of our GIS facilities.

18. Use of the GIS technology, large-scale digital mapping and electoral register data in examining, reviewing and defining ward boundaries is outlined below.

Definition of Electoral Ward Boundaries

19. Defining ward boundaries can raise particular difficulties in areas where changes to the built geography are not reflected on available paper maps. In many parts of Scotland such changes are now occurring more rapidly than in the past, with considerable consequences for our boundary review work.

20. The introduction of OS MasterMap® large-scale digital mapping affords the opportunity for the first time for electoral ward boundaries to be defined by means of unique topographical identifiers (TOID®s) which accurately identify the position of the natural and built features depicted by the large-scale digital data. Accordingly, it is possible to identify the boundaries of electoral wards electronically by directly linking the line of any boundary to, for the most part, the OS TOID®s.

21. All Councils in Scotland now have full access to OS MasterMap®, as do all central government bodies, agencies and government-sponsored organisations with GIS facilities. Generally, all such bodies using large-scale digital mapping have a preference for boundary information to be defined against that background.

22. As a consequence:

- all of the proposed ward boundaries we recommend are directly related to digital information contained in the Topography layer of OS MasterMap®, which was supplied to us by OS in July 2004, except where circumstances demand that a line between 2 unconnected TOID®s is necessary, for example, in crossing a road or river;¹
- where part of a ward boundary and the boundary of the Council area are coterminous, that part of the ward boundary is the boundary of the Council area as depicted in the Administrative Boundaries theme of the Topography Layer of OS MasterMap® as supplied to us by OS in July 2004; and
- where applicable, the coastal boundary of a ward is defined by means of the low water mark springs as depicted in a large-scale digital data set (prepared on our behalf by OS from its large-scale digital information) except in areas where, historically, all or part of the Council's seaward boundary presently has a recognised off-shore definition.

23. We are aware that, at the time of this review, OS is undertaking a Positional Accuracy Improvement initiative (PAI) and that it does not expect to complete this work in Scotland until March 2006. Further, the results of the PAI will not be fully incorporated into the OS large-scale digital maps until later in that year. Even after this detailed work by OS, the position of residential property existing at the time of our review will remain within the ward as defined by our review. This provides better long-term accuracy than would be provided by written boundary descriptions.

24. Drawing these matters together, we have worked on the reasonable assumption that the boundaries of wards will be more accurately defined than has been possible at previous reviews

and that any future dispute over the line of a particular boundary will be capable of resolution by reference to deposited copies of the ward boundaries in digital form in conjunction with deposited copies of the OS MasterMap® data (Topography layer and Administrative Boundaries theme of the Topography layer and coastline data) mentioned at paragraph 22 above.

Electorate Data used in the Review

25. As mentioned at paragraph 17 above, in preparation for this review, we investigated and tested the potential offered by GIS technology in the preparation of proposals for revised electoral arrangements. To make fullest use of GIS systems in our review activities it is necessary to have the basic numerical data, for the most part electorate information, in a format which allows them to be directly associated with digital mapping showing the underlying geography to which it relates. Ideally, our preference would have been to link addresses in the electoral register directly to the background geography by means of a geo-referenced address database. However, in the absence of a definitive national address database, and being aware of the shortcomings of available address-based GIS databases, we researched the potential for making use of postcode geography maintained by the General Register Office for Scotland (GRO(S)) as a means of identifying the number of electors on the electoral register at a level of resolution low enough for us to develop and consider proposals for the boundaries of revised electoral wards. Initial tests, involving matching the postcodes for addresses on the electoral register with the GRO(S) postcode geography files, indicated that we could successfully identify electors on the electoral register at the small-user postcode which contained their address and, as a consequence, establish accurate counts of electorate for these postcodes.

26. The availability of up-dated electoral register information on a monthly basis allowed us to associate information on the electoral register at July 2004 with the most up-to-date postcode geography available from GRO(S) (August 2004) thus minimising the potential for mismatches between the two data sets. As a consequence, at the start of our review in North Ayrshire Council area, of the 106,370 electors on the register at July 2004, 106,329 (99.96 percent) could be identified within the postcode geography held in our GIS. The residual number of 41 electors were contained in 13 postcodes which, as far as we could ascertain, were newly allocated by the Post Office and, as such, had not yet appeared in the latest Post Office Address Files which GRO(S) uses to update and maintain its postcode geography system. We were, therefore, confident that postcoded electorate data for North Ayrshire Council area could be used as the initial building blocks for reviewing electoral arrangements in that area.

¹ We updated a small segment of our MasterMap large-scale digital data to include the line of the newly-built A78(T) see Part 2, paragraph 34.

Part 2 The Review in North Ayrshire Council Area

Meeting with North Ayrshire Council

1. Our initial meeting with North Ayrshire Council took place on 12 October 2004 at 15:00 hrs at the headquarters offices of the Council in Irvine. We were represented at that meeting by Professor Hugh Begg who was accompanied by Andrew Gunn from our Secretariat
2. We made a short illustrated presentation which set out the background to the review and the nature of our GIS systems, which provided us with the facility quickly and accurately to prepare proposals and examine alternatives. We demonstrated that the GIS allowed us to look closely at relevant matters such as the geography and topography, settlement patterns and communication links within Council areas. We explained that, as required by the Schedule 6 Rules, we needed information from the Council on house building, demolitions and known institutional development for the 5-year period to 2009 in order to form a view on the number of electors in the Council area at that time. We also invited Councils to provide information which would help us define areas recognised locally as communities. We set out our proposed timetable for the review, which envisaged 7 stages with completion by August 2006. A copy of the outline timetable is set out at Appendix E.
3. Following our presentation, we answered some general questions on matters relating to the review process. We also invited, and took careful note of, various views expressed in relation to the review in the Council area.

Concluded View of the Council

4. The Ministerial Directions (Appendix B) issued on 30 August 2004 stated that each Council should, if it so desired, submit its concluded views on review matters to the Commission within one month of the date of our initial meeting. North Ayrshire Council submitted its concluded view to us in the form of a letter received on 8 November 2004 which:
 - stated that councillors had identified communities with distinct identities within settlements;
 - noted that a majority of the Council supported the broad principle that each of the wards should have the same number of councillors and electorate in the interests of parity, recognising that circumstances on the ground would dictate the eventual outcome;
 - identified 5 broad communities of interest used for service planning and asked that these be respected, while noting that Kilwinning was regarded as a settlement in its own right;
 - considered that Arran had no obvious close relationship with any other ward except Ardrossan due to the transport connections and expressed concern that, potentially, no member elected to represent Arran would be resident there; and
 - requested that the redrawn ward boundaries follow rear curtilages in preference to boundaries along the middle of streets.

5. Attached to the letter was a table identifying perceived community areas, the principal settlements within these areas, and their perceived micro communities. GIS data in respect of the community areas and micro-communities was also supplied to us.

Aggregation of Existing Wards

6. In compliance with the legislation as amended, our Secretariat set out for us options for creating new 3 or 4 member wards by combining existing single member wards. We noted that the options placed before us variously divided most of the main settlements in the Council area; created associations of communities which conflicted with the larger communities of interest identified by the Council; and, within the principal settlements, produced boundaries which neither related consistently to the boundaries of the communities defined by the Council nor followed easily identifiable ground features.

7. After consideration of these and all the other relevant matters, we were not persuaded that our initial proposals should be based on a configuration dictated by a simple aggregation of existing wards. We, therefore, decided not to continue with that approach because alternative proposals could be developed which:

- better reflected the various communities of interest as perceived by the Council;
- significantly reduced divisions within the principal settlements ;
- better acknowledged the communities within settlements as identified by the Council;
- allowed the design of ward boundaries which could be related to strong and easily identifiable ground features;
- took full account of information on future housing developments and the geographical distribution of the electorate.

8. As a consequence of our decision, our Secretariat then prepared alternative designs for revised wards using the methodology made known to the Council and bearing in mind the information on settlements and communities provided to us in its concluded view.

Initial Proposals

9. We noted that our Secretariat's proposals were based on the settlements and communities identified by the Council, retained both the integrity of the broad communities of interest within the terms of the statutory rules and followed well-defined ground features. We also noted that, although the divisions of the principal settlements could be minimised, it was not possible to contain Irvine within a single ward.

10. The degree of divergence from parity in proposed Wards 1 and 8 was unacceptable and, while acknowledging that a modification to the common boundary would result in the division of another settlement, we required that an alternative boundary be identified to create a better balance of electorate between these wards.

11. We concluded that our Secretariat's proposals, subject to the modification of the boundary between Wards 1 and 8 and minor amendments in other areas, should be adopted as our initial proposals. In the course of our deliberations we took fully into account the Schedule 6 rules, and the requirement placed upon us that we make recommendations which, in our view, were in the interests of effective and convenient local government.

12. Following full discussion, it was agreed that our initial proposals for North Ayrshire Council area should comprise 8 electoral wards of which 2 were 3-member wards and 6 were 4-member wards as follows:

Ward Number a	Forecast Electorate b	Number of Elected Members c	Average Electorate per Member d	Divergence from Parity (%) e
1	14,100	4	3,525	-5
2	15,300	4	3,825	3
3	15,810	4	3,953	6
4	10,190	3	3,397	-9
5	14,210	4	3,553	-4
6	11,500	3	3,833	3
7	14,710	4	3,678	-1
8	15,680	4	3,920	5

Informing the Council of our Initial Proposals

13. We submitted our initial proposals to North Ayrshire Council on 7 March 2005 illustrated by maps showing the boundaries of the proposed wards. We also provided details of the forecast of electorate for each ward at 2009, a GIS file containing the boundaries of each ward and a CDROM containing maps of the proposed ward boundaries in PDF image format. (A GIS file containing the GRO(S) postcode geography showing the 2004 electorate together with the expected new build/demolition etc data and the electorate for each postcode at 2009 arising from these components of change was also available to the Council to assist with the review process.)

14. We asked the Council to provide its views on our initial proposals within two months as specified in the legislation. Contact was maintained with the Council at officer level throughout this initial consultation period.

North Ayrshire Council Response

15. We received North Ayrshire Council's written response to our initial proposals on 9 May 2005.

16. The Council found our proposals broadly acceptable but drew various issues to our attention.

17. The Council suggested that an area in the Kilwinning Ward, south of the A78(T) should be transferred to an Irvine ward adopting the A78(T) as the natural boundary between the two settlements. The Council also expressed a concern that the village of Barrmill, along with neighbouring communities which were associated with Beith, were now attached to Dalry and West Kilbride, but acknowledged that it had identified no satisfactory alternative solution.

18. The Council also requested that the landward boundaries of Wards 1 and 8 should be the newly completed A78(T) bypass to provide a better defined and sustainable boundary.

19. We were also asked to consider the transfer of the Millglen Caravan Park and Mill Farm to the proposed Ardrossan and Arran ward as the residents in this area, on the outskirts of Ardrossan, were associated with Ardrossan rather than Dalry.

20. We were provided with a list of ward names and the Council also suggested a revised sequence of ward numbers.

Consideration of the Council Response to the Initial Proposals

21. We noted that the Council found our proposals broadly acceptable.

22. We examined the Council's request that an area south of the A78(T) should be associated with Irvine rather than Kilwinning. We found that this would have a significant impact on divergence from parity and consequently we decided that no modification should be made at this stage. However, we agreed to revisit this issue in the light of representations received during the public consultation.

23. We considered the request that Ward 6 should include the village of Barrmill and the surrounding area but agreed that because this modification would significantly increase divergence from parity, especially in Ward 6, it could not be accepted.

24. We considered the Council's request to align the landward boundaries of Wards 1 and 8 to the A78(T) bypass but concluded that because this new feature did not yet appear on our large-scale mapping it was not possible to attach boundaries to it at this stage of the review.

25. We accepted that the electors resident in Millglen Caravan Park and Mill Farm should be associated with Ardrossan rather than Dalry and agreed to amend the boundary between Wards 1 and 4 accordingly.

26. We accepted the ward names and the numbering sequence as proposed by the Council and adopted them as our own.

Provisional Proposals

27. Our provisional proposals for revised electoral arrangements in North Ayrshire Council area made provision for a Council of 30 elected members in 2 wards each returning 3 members and 6 wards each returning 4 members as follows:

Ward		Forecast Electorate c	Number of Elected Members d	Average Electorate per Member e	Divergence from Parity(%) f
Number a	Name b				
1	Irvine West	15,300	4	3,825	3
2	Irvine East	15,810	4	3,953	6
3	Kilwinning	14,710	4	3,678	-1
4	Saltcoats and Stevenston	15,680	4	3,920	5
5	Ardrossan and Arran	14,120	4	3,530	-5
6	Dalry and West Kilbride	10,170	3	3,390	-9
7	Kilbirnie and Beith	11,500	3	3,833	3
8	North Coast and Cumbraes	14,210	4	3,553	-4

28. We published our provisional proposals for revised electoral arrangements in North Ayrshire Council area on 23 June 2005. These differed from our initial proposals in that they reflected the change to the boundary between Wards 1 and 4 referred to in paragraph 25 above and, in addition, contained minor changes necessary to fix the proposed boundaries to the OS MasterMap® TOID®s (see Part 1 paragraphs 19-24).

29. A statutory notice, detailing where our provisional proposals had been deposited for the public consultation and setting out the arrangements we had made for receiving representations, was published in the Ardrossan and Saltcoats Herald, the Irvine Times, the Irvine Herald, the Largs and Millport Weekly News and the Arran Banner. Copies of the documents and maps deposited for the public consultation were also placed on our web site. A short news release was issued on 22 June 2005. The Council was also provided with copies of the documentation and maps on CDROM which it was free to distribute on a wider basis. Further, we provided the Council with GIS files containing the proposed ward boundary details.

30. All MPs and MSPs with a constituency interest were provided with copies of our provisional proposals on CDROM as were the headquarters organisations of the political parties represented at the Scottish Parliament. We also informed the Scottish Parliament Information Centre, Community Councils, Electoral Registration Officers and the Electoral Commission of our provisional proposals.

31. We asked that representations should be made to us within the 12-week period ending on 15 September 2005. We informed all concerned that representations could be made by writing to our Secretary at 3 Drumsheugh Gardens Edinburgh, EH3 7QJ, by email at north.ayrshire@lgbc-scotland.gov.uk, by fax at 0131 538 7511, or by using the representations pro forma accessible on our web site at www.lgbc-scotland.gov.uk. Those making representations were asked to provide their full postal address including postcode.

Representations

32. Eight representations were received during the statutory public consultation. The main points raised by these parties are summarised below:

The Council

The Council noted the modification already made but requested that the A78(T) should be used as the boundary between Wards 1 and 3 in the interests of local ties and that the same road should also provide the landward boundary of Wards 4 and 5.

MSPs and MPs

Phil Gallie MSP supported our proposals.

Brian Donohoe MP requested that various streets currently in Ward 3 (Kilwinning) be transferred to Ward 1 (Irvine West) in order to avoid splitting the local community.

Local Political Parties

The North Ayrshire Local Government Committee for the Scottish Labour Party objected to naming Ward 3 as Kilwinning as it included part of Irvine and requested that those who considered themselves to be residents of Irvine should be located in one of the Irvine wards.

Community Councils

Beith and District Community Council objected to the villages of Barmill and Greenhill being placed in Ward 6 while the majority of the Community Council was located in Ward 7. The villages were associated with Beith and should therefore be in the same ward. The Community Council also expressed concerns regarding Beith joining with Kilbirnie because Beith could be under-represented or have no representation.

Fairlie Community Council identified a boundary between Wards 6 and 8 which they considered better acknowledged the local community and school catchment area and avoided bisecting the Clydeport Hunterston site.

Other Representations

A letter was received requesting an amendment to the boundary between Wards 6 and 8 in order to take into account local watercourses. The representation considered it important that areas of land local to a community should fall within the same ward and proposed that all of the rising ground to the south-east and east of Fairlie be contained within the same ward as Fairlie.

A letter was received from a resident of Irvine objecting to inclusion in the proposed Kilwinning Ward and requesting that an amendment be made to keep natural communities together.

Consideration of Representations

33. We considered the 4 representations which raised the issue of the boundary between Ward 1 (Irvine West) and Ward 3 (Kilwinning). While acknowledging that amending this boundary to align with the A78(T) produced increased divergence from parity in both wards, we agreed that the modification was justified given the strength of local ties in the area and the easily identifiable boundary provided by the A78(T).

34. We examined the request of the Council that the landward boundaries of Wards 4 and 5 be aligned to the new A78(T) and agreed that, as the road line provided a well defined easily recognisable boundary and would have a minimal impact on electoral parity, the boundary should be amended. We agreed that steps should be taken to obtain up-dated large-scale digital mapping for the area in question so that the various boundaries could be re-aligned to the new features.

35. We considered the 2 representations concerning the boundary between Wards 6 and 8, noting that both involved minimal electorate and agreed to adopt the proposal of Fairlie Community Council as this identified clearly defined boundaries.

36. We examined the representation from Beith and District Community Council and noted that inclusion of the villages of Barmill and Greenhills within Ward 7 would result in significantly increased divergence from parity in both Wards 6 and 7. We considered that this divergence was not acceptable and, as a consequence, agreed not to amend our proposals.

37. We noted the support given to our proposals by Phil Gallie MSP.

Part 3 Final Recommendation

1. Having conducted the fourth statutory review of electoral arrangements for North Ayrshire Council area in accordance with the procedures described in Parts 1 and 2 above, we recommend that future electoral arrangements for the said Council area should provide for a Council of 30 elected members in 2 wards each returning 3 members and in 6 wards each returning 4 members as follows:

Ward		Forecast Electorate	Number of Elected Members	Average Electorate per Member	Divergence from Parity(%)
Number a	Name b				
1	Irvine West	16,180	4	4,045	9
2	Irvine East	15,810	4	3,953	6
3	Kilwinning	13,810	4	3,453	-7
4	Saltcoats and Stevenston	15,700	4	3,925	6
5	Ardrossan and Arran	14,120	4	3,530	-5
6	Dalry and West Kilbride	10,160	3	3,387	-9
7	Kilbirnie and Beith	11,500	3	3,833	3
8	North Coast and Cumbraes	14,220	4	3,555	-4

2. The boundaries of the proposed electoral wards are described in the form of digital data as set out at Part 1 paragraph 22 of our report. These data files, which have been securely stored on magnetic media at the date of publication of our report, comprise GIS files in shapefile format containing the features copied from the OS MasterMap®, large-scale digital data as supplied to us at July 2004. A full copy of the OS MasterMap®, Topography Layer, the North Ayrshire Council area boundary depicted in the Administrative Boundaries theme and the low water mark ordinary springs large-scale digital data (prepared on our behalf by OS from its large-scale digital information) has similarly been secured on magnetic media. These secured data files ensure that the proposed ward boundary polyline shapefiles data can be verified against the July 2004 version of the large-scale base mapping from which the proposed ward boundaries are derived.

3. A statutory notice, detailing where our report has been deposited for inspection was published in the Ardrossan and Saltcoats Herald, the Irvine Times, the Irvine Herald, the Largs and Millport Weekly News and the Arran Banner. A news release announcing the publication of our report was also issued.

4. Maps at the print size and scale set out below have also been deposited for public inspection at the locations set out in the statutory notice:

- North Ayrshire Council area A0 scale 1:75,000
- Irvine, the Three Towns and surrounding area A2 scale 1:28,000

5. Our report and the maps detailing the recommended ward boundaries, together with individual maps of each of the recommended wards, are available on our web site at www.lgbc-scotland.gov.uk and can be viewed or downloaded free of charge within the constraints imposed by Crown copyright.

Appendices

- Appendix A Extract from Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004
- Appendix B Direction Under Section 18(2A) of The Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973
- Appendix C Direction Under Section 18(2A) of The Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973
- Appendix D Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, as amended
Schedule 6 – Rules to be observed in considering electoral arrangements
- Appendix E Review Timetable
- Appendix F Illustrative Maps

Appendix A

Extract from Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004

4 Reviews of electoral arrangements

- (1) As soon as practicable after the commencement of this section the Boundary Commission shall-
 - (a) review the electoral arrangements for all local government areas for the purpose of considering future electoral arrangements for those areas, and
 - (b) formulate proposals for those arrangements.
- (2) Part II of the 1973 Act applies to a review under subsection (1) as it applies to a review under section 16 of that Act except that section 17 of that Act has effect as if it required-
 - (a) the Boundary Commission to submit a report on any review before such date as the Scottish Ministers may direct, and
 - (b) the Scottish Ministers to make an order under section 17 giving effect to the proposals of the Commission under subsection (1) (whether as submitted to them or with modifications).
- (3) The Boundary Commission shall, when complying with section 18(2)(aa) of the 1973 Act on a review under subsection (1), also inform the council of the reasons for any differences between-
 - (a) their draft proposals, and
 - (b) the draft proposals which would have been made had they been formulated on the basis that each electoral ward of a local government area is to consist of a combination of existing electoral wards (the rules set out in Schedule 6 to that Act having been disregarded in so far as those rules conflicted with that basis).
- (4) The Boundary Commission shall disregard subsection (3) when formulating proposals for future electoral arrangements under subsection (1).
- (5) The 1973 Act is amended as follows-
 - (a) in section 16(2) (duty of Boundary Commission to carry out periodic reviews of electoral arrangements), for “Schedule 5 to this Act” substitute “section 4(1) of the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004 (asp 9)”,
 - (b) in section 18 (procedure for reviews)-
 - (i) in subsection (2), after paragraph (a) insert-

- “(aa) at least two months before taking any steps under paragraph (b) below to inform other persons of any draft proposals or any interim decision not to make proposals, inform the council of any local government area affected by the review of those proposals or that decision;
- (ab) before taking any such steps, take into consideration any representation made to them by such a council during the period of two months beginning on the day on which the council is informed under paragraph (aa);”,
- (ii) after subsection (2) insert-
 - “(2A) The Scottish Ministers may give directions to-
 - (a) the Boundary Commission,
 - (b) the council of any local government area affected by a review, in relation to consultation under subsection (2)(a) above.
 - (2B) Such directions may be given generally or in relation to particular reviews or particular aspects of reviews.”,
- (c) section 20 is repealed,
- (d) in subsection (1) (interpretation) of section 28, in the definition of “electoral arrangements”, after “councillors”, where second occurring, insert “, the number of councillors for each electoral ward”,
- (e) in subsection (2) (review of electoral arrangements to be carried out in compliance with certain enactments) of that section-
 - (i) after “Act”, where first occurring, insert “or section 4(1) of the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004 (asp 9)”, and
 - (ii) for “section 5 of the Local Government etc. (Scotland) Act 1994” substitute “section 1 of the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004 (asp 9)”,
- (f) Schedule 5 is repealed,
- (g) in sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 1 of Schedule 6, for the words from “of”, where fourth occurring, to the end of that sub-paragraph substitute “calculated by dividing the number of local government electors in each electoral ward of that local government area by the number of councillors to be returned in that ward shall be, as nearly as may be, the same.”, and
- (h) at the end of sub-paragraph (3) of that paragraph insert-

“but if, in any case, there is a conflict between those criteria, greater weight shall be given to the latter.”

Appendix B

DIRECTION UNDER SECTION 18(2A) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (SCOTLAND) ACT 1973

1. The Scottish Ministers, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 18(2A) and (2B) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (“the Act”), and of all other powers enabling them in that behalf, hereby give the following directions to the Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland (“the Commission”).
2. These directions apply in relation to any consultation carried out by the Commission, in accordance with section 18(2)(a) of the Act, with the council of any local government area affected by a review under Part II of the Act (“the council”).
3. In carrying out any such consultation, the Commission shall comply with the requirements set out in paragraphs 4 to 12 below.

Information to be provided by the Commission

4. The Commission shall, within 14 days of the date of this Direction, inform the council in writing, by letter, by recorded delivery, addressed to the Chief Executive of that council of the following–
 - (a) the timetable proposed by the Commission for that consultation;
 - (b) the timetable proposed by the Commission for the review to be conducted by the Commission under section 4(1) of the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004;
 - (c) the general approach the Commission proposes to adopt in formulating its proposals for ward boundaries;
 - (d) the information the Commission would like to have available to use in formulating those proposals;
 - (e) the assistance which that council would be asked to render to the Commission in the process of the review; and
 - (f) such other matters as the Commission considers appropriate.

Process of consultation with the council

General

5. The Commission shall take all reasonable steps to establish and maintain an ongoing dialogue with the council for the purposes of the review. That dialogue may be conducted in person, orally, in writing or otherwise.

6. In the course of the consultation, the Commission shall encourage the council-
 - (a) to establish, and communicate to the Commission, any proposals or ideas that the council may have in relation to new ward boundaries, or otherwise in relation to the formulation of the Commission's proposals; and
 - (b) to provide such factual information held by the council as the Commission or the council think relevant in relation to the formulation of the Commission's proposals.

Meeting with the council

7. Subject to paragraph 9, the Commission shall meet with the council no later than twelve weeks after the date of the letter sent in terms of paragraph 4.
8.
 - (1) The Commission shall propose to the council 2 dates, and the times on those dates, on which that meeting might take place.
 - (2) Where the council indicates that those proposals are unsuitable then the Commission shall offer 2 further dates, and the times on these dates, on which the meeting might take place.
 - (3) All of the dates and times proposed by the Commission under this paragraph shall be within the ordinary working hours of the council.
 - (4) Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent the Commission and the council meeting at any time which is not within the ordinary working hours of the council where the Commission and the council so agree.
9. The Commission is not required to meet with the council where-
 - (a) the council indicates that it does not wish to meet the Commission; or
 - (b) the council fails to respond to any proposal made under
 - (i) paragraph 8(1), during the period of 14 calendar days beginning with the day on which the council received that proposal; or
 - (ii) paragraph 8(2), during the period of 7 calendar days beginning with the day on which the council received that proposal.

Formal written views of the council

10.
 - (1) The Commission shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that they have received the concluded view of the council in writing on the matters raised in the consultation by no later than-
 - (a) the end of the period of four weeks beginning with the date of any meeting held in accordance with paragraph 7; or

- (b) in the event that, in terms of paragraph 9, no meeting took place between the Commission and the council, the end of the period of four weeks beginning with -
 - (i) the date on which the council indicated that it did not wish to meet the Commission; or
 - (ii) the date on which the period referred to in paragraph 9(b)(i), or if applicable, 9(b)(ii) ends,whichever may apply.

- (2) Where the Commission have not received the concluded view referred to in sub-paragraph (1), within the timescale provided for in that sub-paragraph, the Commission may disregard any conflict in the views that have been expressed by, or purportedly by, the council where, in the opinion of the Commission, those views conflict.

11. Where the Commission is of the opinion that it has not received the concluded view of the council it shall notify the council of that fact no fewer than 7 calendar days before the end of the consultation.

12. For the purposes of these directions, the Commission shall assume that a view expressed as being the concluded view of the council is the concluded view of the council if that view is expressed in a letter from the Chief Executive of the council to the Commission.

Andrew P Kerr

A member of the Scottish Executive

Edinburgh
30th August 2004

Appendix C

DIRECTION UNDER SECTION 18(2A) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (SCOTLAND) ACT 1973

1. The Scottish Ministers, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 18(2A) and (2B) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (“the Act”), and of all other powers enabling them in that behalf, hereby give the following directions.
2. These directions apply in relation to any consultation carried out by the Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland (“the Commission”), in accordance with section 18(2)(a) of the Act, with the council of any local government area affected by a review under Part II of the Act (“the council”).
3. In taking part in such a consultation, the council shall comply with the requirements set out in paragraph 4 below.
4. For the purposes of enabling the Commission to exercise the functions conferred upon them by virtue of section 18(2)(a) of the Act, as read with the Scottish Ministers’ direction to the Commission dated 30th August 2004, the Council shall co-operate with the Commission and shall in particular:-
 - (a) establish and maintain an ongoing dialogue which, where appropriate, may include meeting with the Commission;
 - (b) take all reasonable steps to ensure that any views expressed, or purporting to be expressed, by it or on its behalf to the Commission are accurate;
 - (c) provide the Commission with all such information as the Commission may reasonably request; and
 - (d) provide the Commission with all such information as the Council considers relevant, including any proposals or ideas the Council may have, in relation to new ward boundaries, or otherwise in relation to the formulation of the Commission’s proposals.

Andrew P Kerr

A member of the Scottish Executive

Edinburgh
30 August 2004

Appendix D

Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, as amended Schedule 6 – Rules to be observed in considering electoral arrangements

1. (1) This schedule applies to the consideration by Scottish Ministers or the Boundary Commission of electoral arrangements for election of councillors of local government areas.
 - (2) Having regard to any change in the number or distribution of electors of a local government area likely to take place within the period of five years immediately following the consideration, the number calculated by dividing the number of local government electors in each electoral ward of that local government area by the number of councillors to be returned in that ward shall be, as nearly as may be, the same.
 - (3) Subject to sub-paragraph (2) above, in considering the electoral arrangements referred to in sub-paragraph (1) above regard shall be had to-
 - (a) the desirability of fixing boundaries which are and will remain easily identifiable;
 - (b) any local ties which would be broken by the fixing of any particular boundary but if, in any case, there is a conflict between those criteria, greater weight shall be given to the latter.
2. The strict application of the rule stated in paragraph 1(2) above may be departed from in any area where special geographical conditions appear to render a departure desirable.

Appendix E

Review Timetable

Stage 1: Initial consultation with Councils and the early establishment of an ongoing dialogue between the Commission and Councils for the purpose of the Review.

Councils are given full opportunity to communicate to the Commission any proposals or ideas that they may have in relation to the design of new wards. The Commission outlines the methodology underlying its intended approach to the Review.

Clarification of general review issues, both technical and administrative. Exchange of factual information between the Commission and Councils relevant to the design of proposed wards.

Discussion/exchange of information for any areas of concern.

12 weeks

Stage 2: Development of initial proposals by the Commission for each Council area with ongoing consultation between the Commission and Councils as necessary on emerging issues.

Preparation of maps, supporting documentation and GIS files of proposed ward boundaries for Councils once the Commission has completed drafting its initial ward schemes.

10 weeks

Stage 3: Initial proposals released to Councils. Two months allowed for the Council to comment on proposals, offer amendments and or alternatives. Further discussions with Council as required throughout this stage to clarify emerging issues relating to the initial proposals.

9 weeks (2 months)

Stage 4: Full consideration by the Commission of issues arising through stage 3 which might lead to revision/adjustment of initial proposals. Further liaison with Councils taken forward on a needs basis

8 weeks

Stage 5: Publication of the Commission's provisional proposals as part of the statutory public consultation process. Analysis of representations received. Seek Council views on aspects of representations and the impact they have on the provisional proposals as required.

12 weeks

Stage 6: Full analysis of representations received by the Commission.

Commission determines where a need has arisen for local inquiries, organises and conducts inquiries and reviews its provisional recommendations in light of inquiry reports.

Publication of revised recommendations where the Commission makes significant changes to its provisional proposals.

Consider representations received within 1 month.

Move to final recommendations where possible.

20 weeks

Stage 7: Complete final recommendations for all Council areas.

Complete review process, write and submit reports.

Appendix F

Illustrative Maps

Ward 1 – Irvine West

Ward 2 – Irvine East

Ward 3 – Kilwinning

Ward 4 – Saltcoats and Stevenston

Ward 5 – Ardrossan and Arran

Ward 6 – Dalry and West Kilbride

Ward 7 – Kilbirnie and Beith


Ward 8 – North Coast and Cumbraes

Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland


North Ayrshire Council area

Ward 1 - Irvine West

4 elected members



Crown Copyright 2006. Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland.
All rights reserved. License number 100020537.




Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland
North Ayrshire Council area
 Ward 2 - Irvine East
 4 elected members

0 1 2 3 Km

North Arrow

Crown Copyright 2006. Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland.
 All rights reserved. License number 100020537.




Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland


North Ayrshire Council area

Ward 4 - Saltcoats and Stevenston

4 elected members




0 1 2 Km
Crown Copyright 2006. Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland.
All rights reserved. License number 100020537.



Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland
North Ayrshire Council area
 Ward 5 - Ardrossan and Arran
 4 elected members


0 2 4 6 8 10 12 Km
 Crown Copyright 2006. Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland.
 All rights reserved. License number 100020537.

Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland
North Ayrshire Council area
Ward 6 - Dairy and West Kilbride
3 elected members



Crown Copyright 2006. Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland.
All rights reserved. License number 100020537.

Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland
North Ayrshire Council area
Ward 7 - Kilbirnie and Beith
3 elected members



0 1 2 3 4 Km
Crown Copyright 2006. Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland.
All rights reserved. License number 100020537.

Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland

North Ayrshire Council area

Ward 8 - North Coast and Cumbræes

4 elected members

