




**Review of Electoral Arrangements
North Ayrshire Council Area
Final Proposals**

Report to Scottish Ministers



Boundaries Scotland

Statutory Review of Electoral Arrangements

Final Proposals

North Ayrshire Council Area

Membership of the Commission

Chair:	Ronnie Hinds
Deputy Chair:	Ailsa Henderson
Commissioners:	Roland Bean Jon Collins Gordon Macmillan Susan Walker

Boundaries Scotland

Scottish Ministers


We, Boundaries Scotland¹, present our proposals for North Ayrshire Council area resulting from our Review of Electoral Arrangements under, and in accordance with, section 20 of the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018.

In accordance with the provisions of section 18(3) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, copies of our report, together with illustrative maps, are being sent to North Ayrshire Council with a request that the report and maps should be made available for public inspection at its offices.

The report is available on our website and is being publicised on social media. Notice is also being given in newspapers circulating in the council area of the fact that the report has been made so that interested persons may inspect the report and maps at the Council's offices.



Ronnie Hinds
Chair



Isabel Drummond-Murray
Secretary

Boundaries Scotland
Thistle House
91 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh
EH12 5HD

boundaries.scot@scottishboundaries.gov.uk
www.boundaries.scot
Twitter: @boundaries_scot

June 2021

¹ We conducted this Review as the Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland but have submitted our proposals and report to Ministers as Boundaries Scotland following the commencement of section 28 of the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020 on 14 May 2021.

Contents

Part 1 Final Proposals for North Ayrshire Council area	3
Map of proposed ward boundaries	4
North Coast	5
Garnock Valley	6
Ardrossan	7
Arran	8
Saltcoats and Stevenston	9
Kilwinning	10
Irvine West	11
Irvine East	12
Irvine South	13
Part 2 Background	14
Why we conduct electoral reviews	14
How we work	15
Effective and convenient local government	15
Determining councillor numbers	15
Determining ward boundaries	15
Councillors per ward	16
Electoral parity	16
Special geographical considerations	16
Electorate change	17
Local ties	17
Easily identifiable boundaries	17
Ward names	17
Consultation	18
Reporting arrangements	18
Part 3 Conducting the Review	19
Review Stages	19
Consultation	19
Existing electoral arrangements	20
Initial Proposals – Consultation with North Ayrshire Council	21
Initial Proposals – Public Consultation	22
Final Proposals	23
Islands (Scotland) Act 2018 and Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020	24
Electoral Parity and Special Geographical Considerations	24
Avoidance of breaking local ties	24
Easily identifiable boundaries	24
Conclusion	25
Appendices	26
Appendix A: Legislation governing our work as of 14 May 2021	26
Appendix B: Index of meeting papers and Commission meetings	27

Part 1 Final Proposals for North Ayrshire Council area

1. We propose that in the interests of effective and convenient local government the future electoral arrangements for North Ayrshire Council area should provide for a council of 33 councillors in nine wards, comprising one ward returning 1 member, three wards each returning 3 members, two wards each returning 4 members and three wards each returning 5 members as follows:

Ward No.	Ward Name	Cllrs	Electorate (Dec 18)	Variation from Electoral Parity (%) ¹	Forecast Electorate (2023) ²	Forecast Variation from Electoral Parity (%) ¹
1	North Coast	5	17,766	9.7	17,657	10.9
2	Garnock Valley	5	16,138	-0.3	15,703	-1.4
3	Ardrossan	3	8,389	-13.6	8,047	-15.8
4	Arran	1	3,820	18.0	3,858	21.1
5	Saltcoats and Stevenston	5	16,798	3.8	16,377	2.8
6	Kilwinning	4	13,297	2.7	12,988	1.9
7	Irvine West	4	11,921	-8.0	11,525	-9.5
8	Irvine East	3	9,907	2.0	9,765	2.2
9	Irvine South	3	8,815	-9.3	9,187	-3.9
		33	106,851		105,107	


¹ Absolute parity throughout the council area would result in each ward being at 0% that is each councillor representing exactly the same number of electors. A negative parity % figure indicates a ward is over-represented, that is that each councillor represents fewer electors than absolute parity would require. A positive % figure indicates a ward is under-represented, that is each councillor represents more electors than absolute parity would require.

² Forecast electorate is derived from data provided by each council, including new build and demolition data, and population estimates published by National Records of Scotland.

2. Our proposals:


- retain the same number of councillors as the existing arrangements;
- retain four existing wards unchanged: Kilwinning, Irvine East, Irvine South and Irvine West;
- take into account North Ayrshire Council's Localities and the local ties and communities that they represent;
- present a 1-member Arran ward reflecting its island status. We considered the case for a 2-member Arran ward but concluded that the special geographic circumstances of Arran did not justify a forecast variation from parity of -39%. An alternative would have been to retain the link between Arran and the mainland but this did not seem to us to recognise the distinctiveness of Arran's island communities in light of the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018;
- take account of the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020 that allows the use of 2-member and 5-member wards to design wards that better reflect local ties in the Garnock Valley, North Coast and Three Towns (Ardrossan, Saltcoats and Stevenston); and
- present a ward boundary between Ardrossan (ward 3) and Saltcoats and Stevenston (ward 5) that follows local community council area and historical burgh boundaries.

Map of proposed ward boundaries




Ward 2 Garnock Valley – 5 councillors

Our proposals create a ward that covers the same area as the Council's Garnock Valley Locality boundary. It contains all of the existing Kilbirnie and Beith ward and part of the existing Dalry and West Kilbride ward. Councillors in this ward are forecast to represent 1.4% fewer electors than absolute parity would require.



Ward 3 Ardrossan – 3 councillors

Our proposals create a ward whose boundary follows the burgh boundary between Ardrossan and Saltcoats. This ward and ward 4 replace the existing island – mainland Ardrossan and Arran ward. The proposed ward sits with the Saltcoats and Stevenston ward within the Council's Three Towns Locality. Councillors in this ward are forecast to represent 15.8% fewer electors than absolute parity would require.



Ward 4 Arran – 1 councillor

Our proposals create a ward that covers the same area as the Council's Arran Locality boundary. It contains the inhabited islands of Arran and Holy Island. This ward and ward 3 replace the existing island – mainland Ardrossan and Arran ward. The councillor in this ward is forecast to represent 21.1% more electors than absolute parity would require.




Ward 5 Saltcoats and Stevenston – 5 councillors

Our proposals merge most of the existing Saltcoats ward and Stevenston ward to create a Saltcoats and Stevenston ward. The ward boundary follows the burgh boundary between Ardrossan and Saltcoats. The proposed ward sits with the Ardrossan ward within the Council's Three Towns Locality. Councillors in this ward are forecast to represent 2.8% more electors than absolute parity would require.




Ward 6 Kilwinning – 4 councillors

Our proposals retain the existing Kilwinning ward, with the name, boundary and number of councillors unchanged. It covers the same area as the Council's Kilwinning Locality. Councillors in this ward are forecast to represent 1.9% more electors than absolute parity would require.




Ward 7 Irvine West – 4 councillors

Our proposals retain the existing Irvine West ward, with the name, boundary and number of councillors unchanged. It sits within the Council's Irvine Locality with the other two Irvine wards. Councillors in this ward are forecast to represent 9.5% fewer electors than absolute parity would require.




Ward 8 Irvine East – 3 councillors

Our proposals retain the existing Irvine East ward, with the name, boundary and number of councillors unchanged. It sits within the Council's Irvine Locality with the other two Irvine wards. Councillors in this ward are forecast to represent 2.2% more electors than absolute parity would require.



Ward 9 Irvine South – 3 councillors

Our proposals retain the existing Irvine South ward, with the name, boundary and number of councillors unchanged. It sits within the Council's Irvine Locality with the other two Irvine wards. Councillors in this ward are forecast to represent 3.9% fewer electors than absolute parity would require.



Part 2 Background

Who we are

3. Boundaries Scotland¹ is an independent, non-political statutory body responsible for carrying out reviews of councillor numbers and electoral wards for council areas.
4. Under the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (as amended) we undertake reviews to ensure effective and convenient local government and electoral fairness, which means that each councillor should represent broadly the same number of electors. Councillors represent electoral areas called wards.
5. The Commission is also responsible for carrying out reviews of council area boundaries and of constituencies and regions for the Scottish Parliament.

Why we conduct electoral reviews

6. We conduct electoral reviews at intervals of no more than 15 years and take account of the factors set out in the 1973 Act (as amended by subsequent legislation). These say we must ensure that the number of electors per councillor in each ward are as nearly as may be the same. Subject to this, we must have regard to local ties that would be broken by fixing a particular boundary and to the desirability of fixing boundaries that are easily identifiable. Of these, local ties are more important.
7. We may depart from the strict application of electoral parity to reflect special geographical considerations.
8. The population of any council area is constantly changing, with people moving into or out of areas as well as within the same area. As a result of these changes, some councillors may represent considerably more or fewer electors than others. Such variations in levels of representation are one of the reasons that we carry out electoral reviews.
9. This Review of the North Ayrshire Council area is required under section 20 of the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018. This Act recognises the importance of the Scottish islands and the particular opportunities and challenges that apply to them. It offers additional flexibility allowing us to create wards that elect one or two councillors in wards which contain inhabited islands as well as the two, three, four or five councillor wards permitted elsewhere in Scotland following the amendments made to section 1 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 2004 by section 4 of the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020 which took effect from 14 May 2021.
10. The current electoral arrangements in the North Ayrshire Council area were formed in 2017. They were used for local government elections in 2017.
11. North Ayrshire Council area was last reviewed during our 5th Reviews of Electoral Arrangements which reported in 2016.

¹ We conducted this Review as the Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland but have submitted our final proposals and report to Ministers as Boundaries Scotland following the commencement of the relevant section of Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020. Part 4 of the Act amended our name to reflect our added responsibilities for reviewing Scottish Parliament boundaries.

How we work

Effective and convenient local government

12. Effective and convenient local government is the fundamental consideration for proposals arising from any of our reviews.
13. Among the factors we recognise as contributing to effective and convenient local government are:
 - the ability of councils to manage and deliver all of the services they are responsible for in an efficient manner;
 - the ability of individual councillors to carry out their functions including representing the residents in their area; and
 - the ability of residents to access services and participate in local democracy readily and conveniently.

Determining councillor numbers

14. We agreed at our meeting of 4 December 2017 to adopt the same methodology for councillor numbers for this Review as that used during our 5th Reviews of Electoral Arrangements. At that meeting we considered Paper 2429 (Islands Review: councillor numbers methodology) and agreed that, building on our research into councillor role and workload, we were broadly comfortable with the councillor number methodology, though would give further consideration to the role of councils in suggesting what its number of councillors should be and why. We agreed to consider a degree of flexibility over the number of councillors a council may have in order to assist with ward design, noting that we used such flexibility a number of times during the 5th Reviews.
15. We decide the number of councillors for each council area by placing it into a category with other similar councils. The categories we use are based on available data on population distribution and socio-economic conditions from the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD). This Index includes a range of factors including data on: income, employment, education, health, access to services, crime and housing. The categorisation reflects the characteristics of the council area as a whole and the ratio for each category applies across the whole council area to ensure electoral fairness and compliance with the legislation. In determining the appropriate ratio for each category we also have regard to the minimum and maximum number of councillors required for a council to conduct its business and discharge its duties effectively.
16. Reflecting the process outlined above, for this Review we have applied the same ratio of councillors to electors of 1:3,000 in North Ayrshire Council area as we applied during our 5th Reviews.
17. We are aware that a large change of councillor numbers might be disruptive so we incorporated a 10% change rule. This means that we will not propose increasing or decreasing the total number of councillors in any council area by more than 10% unless there is a strong case for doing so.
18. When we come to propose designs for wards we may move away from the exact number of councillors proposed by the methodology, if doing so means creating a pattern of wards that better meets the needs of the council area for effective and convenient local government.

Determining ward boundaries

19. Once we have determined the proposals for the number of councillors, the next stage of the review is to consider proposals for ward boundaries and councillors per ward.

Councillors per ward

- 20.** As mentioned previously, for this Review a ward within North Ayrshire Council area can return between one and five councillors if it contains an inhabited island in whole or part and between two and five councillors if it is a wholly mainland ward. Use of two and five member wards throughout Scotland, in addition to the existing three or four member wards, was introduced by the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020 from 14 May 2021.
- 21.** The Bill that became the 2020 Act had not been enacted at the point we commenced our review. However, we were aware of the progress of the Bill and were open to use of a 5-member ward if the ward design process had suggested this would help meet the legislative requirements of the review.
- 22.** The choice of the number of councillors for each ward depends on the overall pattern of wards we feel best meets all the legislative requirements which apply.

Electoral parity

- 23.** One of the principal aims of a review is to ensure a good level of electoral parity. This means, as far as possible, having the same number of electors per councillor in all wards of a council area. The legislation gives priority to electoral parity over other factors in ward design so we cannot use different ratios of electors to councillors within a council area.
- 24.** Applying strict electoral parity for a review, the number of electors for each ward in North Ayrshire Council area would be:
- 1 councillor ward = 3,000 electors
 - 2 councillor ward = 6,000 electors
 - 3 councillor ward = 9,000 electors
 - 4 councillor ward = 12,000 electors
 - 5 councillor ward = 15,000 electors
- 25.** Best practice and previous reviews suggest an electorate within 10% of absolute electoral parity is acceptable. This is also suggested by the Venice Commission's 'Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters'². This means, for example, a 3-councillor ward could have between 8,100 and 9,900 electors, while a 4-councillor ward could have between 10,800 and 13,200 electors. This is intended to provide a reasonable degree of flexibility in most circumstances.
- 26.** In designing wards, we aim to allow effective and convenient local government and to take account of local circumstances. We will consider moving away from electoral parity within the terms of the legislation if we consider special geographical considerations apply.

Special geographical considerations

- 27.** We can move away from strict adherence to electoral parity for a ward where there are special geographical considerations that make it desirable to do so. Such considerations include areas where transport and communication links are slow, infrequent or subject to interference by the weather and seasons. Examples include distinct island communities, sparsely populated areas and remote areas.

² ['Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters', Venice Commission, 2002](#)

Electorate change

- 28.** When aiming for electoral parity we take into account the likely change in the number or distribution of the local government electorate over a five year period from the start of the Review.
- 29.** To do this, we collected data from North Ayrshire Council on expected new residential development and demolition within its area over the five year period. Using this data, combined with data on the average number of electors per household in the area, and population projections for the same period from the National Records of Scotland, we calculate a forecast of electorate for the five year period.

Local ties

- 30.** When designing wards, we aim to reflect local ties, and in particular we aim to avoid breaking local ties. According to the legislation, however, electoral parity must outweigh local ties.
- 31.** For some, local ties could be defined by their community council area, school catchment area, or historical areas. For island communities we may consider transport links to the mainland or other islands.
- 32.** Major roads could be seen to be the focus of an area if they are the location of shops or community facilities which people visit regularly. Alternatively, roads or rivers or other natural features could be seen as physical barriers marking the boundary between different communities. In sparsely populated areas, we may have to combine two or more distinct and separate communities within a single ward. Here we would also consider how the communities interact with each other.

Easily identifiable boundaries

- 33.** The legislation requires us to take into account the desirability of fixing boundaries that are and will remain easily identifiable.
- 34.** In urban areas, a case can be made to define ward boundaries along roads since they are likely to remain clearly identifiable, and are unlikely to be straddled by new dwellings. As an alternative, drawing a boundary along the rear fences between houses will result in neighbours across a street being in the same ward which may better reflect local ties.
- 35.** In rural areas, natural features may be appropriate where a ward boundary is required. Field boundaries may also be used while recognising that they may be subject to change, particularly in the case of fences. In upland areas, a watershed may be an appropriate ward boundary feature, particularly along narrow, well-defined ridges.
- 36.** Where there is a conflict between the desirability of fixing boundaries that are and will remain easily identifiable and local ties which would be broken by the fixing of any particular boundary, the legislation requires us to give greater weight to local ties.

Ward names

- 37.** When considering ward names we may use an existing ward name where there is a successor ward that is recognisably similar and aim to propose ward names that are reasonably short rather than attempt to describe an area exhaustively. We also welcome local views when proposing ward names.

Consultation

38. As required by the legislation, we consulted North Ayrshire Council for a period of two months and considered their response before consulting publicly.
39. All responses to our consultations are fully considered by the Commission. When considering consultation responses from the Council and others we took full account of our obligations in terms of the legislative framework within which we work.
40. This means that even a well-articulated proposal for change may not be accepted if it does not allow compliance with the legislation (either as it stands or in light of any forthcoming amendments). For example, suggestions which would require change to boundaries between council areas cannot be taken forward as part of a review of electoral arrangements. We are also unable to pursue suggestions which disregard the need to consider parity across a council area as a whole.
41. Where suggestions do comply with the legislative framework we look to see the weight of the argument and supporting evidence. For example, suggestions for alternative boundaries which are felt to better reflect community ties will carry more weight if there is supporting information explaining the community issues involved and any local support that has been canvassed. Evidence in support of a more easily identified boundary might include explanation of the suggested boundary features and an explanation of why it is a feature that divides rather than links communities. Evidence in support of special geographical considerations would include explanation of the factors affecting councillors representation of and engagement with ward residents.

Reporting arrangements

42. Our Final Proposals arising from this Review are to be laid before the Scottish Parliament and may be implemented by regulations made by Scottish Ministers (which, depending on the content of the proposals, may be subject to the negative or affirmative procedure) under revised procedures introduced by the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020.
43. We have planned our work so that our proposals from this Review will, if implemented in accordance with those revised procedures, be ready for the local government elections expected in May 2022.

Part 3 Conducting the Review

Review Stages

44. We followed the timetable laid out below for this Review.

Stage	Date
Commission formally announced start of the Review.	January 2019
Commission met with North Ayrshire Council to provide background to the Review and discuss its approach.	December 2019
Commission developed proposals on councillor numbers and ward boundaries.	February – April 2020
Two month statutory consultation with the Council on councillor numbers and ward boundaries.	July – September 2020
Commission considered Council response and developed proposals for public consultation.	October 2020
12-week public consultation on councillor numbers and ward boundaries.	November 2020 – January 2021
Commission considered all comments submitted and developed its Final Proposals.	From March 2021
Final Proposals and Report submitted to Scottish Ministers.	June 2021

Consultation

45. We consulted with North Ayrshire Council on our proposals for a period of two months from 16 July to 30 September 2020.

46. We considered how best to publicise our 12-week public consultation and we: issued a news release; placed newspaper advertisements in the *Arran Banner*, *Irvine Times*, *Ardrossan & Saltcoats Herald* and *Largs & Millport Weekly News*; wrote to 13 Community Councils; sent posters and further information regarding the Review to 40 display points (such as community halls and leisure centres); and paid for social media advertising on Facebook and Twitter. The materials encouraged those interested to respond to the consultation via the Commission's consultation site. Our public consultation ran from 4 November 2020 to 26 January 2021.

Existing electoral arrangements

47. At the start of this Review, North Ayrshire Council area was represented by 33 councillors in seven 3-member wards and three 4-member wards.

48. The electorates and associated variation from electoral parity for the existing electoral arrangements are shown in the table below.

49. The 5-year forecast electorate in North Ayrshire Council area shows a minor reduction from 106,851 in 2018 to 105,107 in 2024.

Existing electoral arrangements						
Ward No.	Ward Name	Cllrs	Electorate (Dec 2018)	Variation from electoral parity %	Forecast Electorate (2024)	Forecast variation from electoral parity %
1	Irvine West	4	11,921	-8.0	11,525	-9.5
2	Irvine East	3	9,907	2.0	9,765	2.2
3	Kilwinning	4	13,297	2.7	12,988	1.9
4	Stevenston	3	9,509	-2.1	9,157	-4.2
5	Ardrossan and Arran	3	9,584	-1.3	9,432	-1.3
6	Dalry and West Kilbride	3	9,951	2.4	9,877	3.4
7	Kilbirnie and Beith	3	10,501	8.1	10,097	5.7
8	North Coast and Cumbraes	4	13,524	4.4	13,454	5.6
9	Saltcoats	3	9,842	1.3	9,625	0.7
10	Irvine South	3	8,815	-9.3	9,187	-3.9
		33	106,851		105,107	

50. The existing electoral arrangements in North Ayrshire Council area contain no wards with forecast variation from electoral parity of 10% or more.

51. The number of councillors we initially proposed for North Ayrshire Council area is set out below.

Council area	Existing councillor numbers	Electorate (Dec. 2018)	Electorate divided by ratio councillors per electors (1:3,000)	Proposed councillor numbers
North Ayrshire	33	106,851	$106,851 \div 3,000 = 36$	36

Initial Proposals – Consultation with North Ayrshire Council

52. We considered four options for our Initial Proposals at our meetings of 4 February and 7 April 2020, (Papers 2519 and 2526). The option we selected:

- proposed retaining 33 councillors for North Ayrshire Council area because a better ward design was achievable with this number rather than the 36 suggested by our methodology;
- took into account North Ayrshire Council’s Localities and the local ties and communities that they represent;
- presented a 1-member Arran ward reflecting its island status. We considered the case for a 2-member Arran ward but concluded that the special geographic circumstances of Arran did not justify a forecast variation from parity of -39%. An alternative would have been to retain the link between Arran and the mainland but this did not seem to us to recognise the importance of Arran as an island in light of the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018;
- took account of the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020 that would allow the use of 2-member and 5-member wards to design wards that better reflect local ties in the Garnock Valley, North Coast and Three Towns;
- presented a ward boundary between Ardrossan and Saltcoats and Stevenston that followed local community council area and historical burgh boundaries; and
- made no changes to the boundaries for the existing Irvine and Kilwinning wards.

53. We agreed to consult North Ayrshire Council on an electoral arrangement which maintained 33 councillors but represented by one 1-member ward, three 3-member wards, two 4-member wards and three 5-member wards.

54. The electorates and associated variation from parity for the Initial Proposals council consultation are shown in the table below.

Initial Proposals for consultation with North Ayrshire Council						
Ward No.	Ward Name	Cllrs	Electorate (Dec 2018)	Variation from electoral parity %	Forecast Electorate (2024)	Forecast Variation from electoral parity %
1	North Coast	5	17,766	9.7	17,657	10.9
2	Garnock Valley	5	16,138	-0.3	15,703	-1.4
3	Ardrossan	3	8,389	-13.6	8,047	-15.8
4	Arran	1	3,820	18.0	3,858	21.1
5	Saltcoats and Stevenston	5	16,798	3.8	16,377	2.8
6	Kilwinning	4	13,297	2.7	12,988	1.9
7	Irvine West	4	11,921	-8.0	11,525	-9.5
8	Irvine East	3	9,907	2.0	9,765	2.2
9	Irvine South	3	8,815	-9.3	9,187	-3.9
		33	106,851		105,107	

55. We consulted on our Initial Proposals with North Ayrshire Council for a 2-month period from 16 July to 30 September 2020.
56. We considered the response received on our Initial Proposals from North Ayrshire Council at our meeting of 12 October 2020 (Paper 2533).
57. We noted that North Ayrshire Council supported the Initial Proposals, but had suggested a minor amendment to the proposed ward boundary in the hills between Fairlie and Kilbirnie so that it matched the Council's Locality boundary.
58. We agreed to adopt the Council's suggested amendment to our Initial Proposals. We noted that the suggestion would not affect the number of electors in either of the relevant wards, and that changing the boundary in the manner suggested would better reflect local ties and enhance effective and convenient local government.

Initial Proposals – Public Consultation

59. Our Initial Proposals for public consultation presented an electoral arrangement with no change from our consultation with North Ayrshire Council to the number of councillors, number of wards or ward names, except for a minor amendment to ward boundaries in the hills between Fairlie and Kilbirnie. The proposals:
 - took into account North Ayrshire Council's Localities and the local ties and communities that they represent;
 - presented a 1-member Arran ward reflecting its island status. We considered the case for a 2-member Arran ward but concluded that the special geographic circumstances of Arran did not justify a forecast variation from parity of -39%. An alternative would have been to retain the link between Arran and the mainland but this did not seem to us to recognise the importance of Arran as an island in light of the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018;
 - took account of the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act that will allow the use of 2-member and 5-member wards to design that wards that better reflect local ties in the Garnock Valley, North Coast and Three Towns;
 - presented a ward boundary between Ardrossan and Saltcoats and Stevenston that follows local community council area and historical burgh boundaries; and
 - made no changes to the boundaries for the existing Irvine and Kilwinning wards.

60. The electorates and associated variation from parity for our Initial Proposals for public consultation are shown in the table below.

Initial Proposals for public consultation						
Ward No.	Ward Name	Cllrs	Electorate (Dec 18)	Variation from electoral parity %	Forecast Electorate (2024)	Forecast variation from electoral parity %
1	North Coast	5	17,766	9.7	17,657	10.9
2	Garnock Valley	5	16,138	-0.3	15,703	-1.4
3	Ardrossan	3	8,389	-13.6	8,047	-15.8
4	Arran	1	3,820	18.0	3,858	21.1
5	Saltcoats and Stevenston	5	16,798	3.8	16,377	2.8
6	Kilwinning	4	13,297	2.7	12,988	1.9
7	Irvine West	4	11,921	-8.0	11,525	-9.5
8	Irvine East	3	9,907	2.0	9,765	2.2
9	Irvine South	3	8,815	-9.3	9,187	-3.9
		33	106,851		105,107	

61. The public consultation on our Initial Proposals for North Ayrshire Council area ran for a 12-week period from 4 November 2020 to 26 January 2021.

62. During the public consultation we publicised the review as outlined in paragraph 46.

63. There were 72 responses to the public consultation for North Ayrshire Council area. Overall the majority of responses supported the proposed ward boundaries and retaining the existing number of councillors. Arran was the most commented on area with support for Arran and Ardrossan being placed in separate wards and support for either a 1-member or a 2-member Arran ward.

Final Proposals

64. In developing our Final Proposals we considered carefully all responses received, both from the Council and during the public consultation.

65. Our Final Proposals retain four existing wards: Kilwinning; Irvine East; Irvine South; and Irvine West. We have recognised North Ayrshire Council's Locality boundaries throughout the council area. North Ayrshire Locality boundaries consider the local geography and are recognised by local communities and other public bodies. We considered whether Arran should be a 1-member or a 2-member ward, and noted the mixed responses to this suggestion with compelling arguments for each option. We considered the special geographic circumstances of Arran, but were not persuaded that the very high level of electoral disparity which a 2-member Arran ward would entail was justified, and agreed Arran should form a 1-member ward. We noted the low number of responses opposing our proposals elsewhere in the council area, and agreed to adopt our Initial Proposals as our Final Proposals for North Ayrshire Council area.

66. In developing our Final Proposals we took full account of the legislative factors governing our work and these are summarised below.

Islands (Scotland) Act 2018 and Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020

- 67.** In developing our proposals we tested the flexibility offered by the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018 which allowed us to consult on a 1-member Arran ward and to consider a 2-member Arran ward and a 2-member Arran and Cumbrae ward. We considered a 2-member Arran ward but concluded that the special geographic circumstances of Arran did not justify a forecast variation from parity of -39%. There are no direct ferry links between Arran and Cumbrae which might have supported consideration of an Arran and Cumbrae ward.
- 68.** During the Review we were aware of the imminent Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020 (enacted on 8 July 2020) and agreed to consult on three 5-member wards because this allowed our ward boundaries to align with North Ayrshire Council's Localities which consider the geography of North Ayrshire, and the local ties and communities that they represent.

Electoral Parity and Special Geographical Considerations

- 69.** We believe our Final Proposals meet the legislative requirement to ensure that the number of electors per councillor within a council area are as nearly as may be the same.
- 70.** Six of our proposed wards are within 10% of forecast variation from electoral parity.
- 71.** We have proposed three wards with forecast variation from electoral parity of over 10% in: Ardrossan; Arran; and North Coast; where our proposals follow North Ayrshire Council Locality boundaries and recognise the local communities within them.

Avoidance of breaking local ties

- 72.** Our proposals retain four of the existing ward boundaries maintaining local ties within Irvine and Kilwinning.
- 73.** Our proposals align with North Ayrshire Council Locality boundaries which reflect the geography, communities and local ties throughout the council area. North Ayrshire Council advised that Localities are recognised by local communities and public bodies, including the NHS and emergency services, and they have wished to align ward boundaries with their Locality boundaries for a number of years.

Easily identifiable boundaries

- 74.** The proposals retain four of the existing ward boundaries preserving easily identifiable ward boundaries in Irvine and Kilwinning.
- 75.** Our proposals align with North Ayrshire Council Locality boundaries which reflect the geography of the area.
- 76.** In the Three Towns we have considered local ties by following local community council area and historical burgh boundaries.
- 77.** Arran as an island-only ward creates an easily identifiable ward boundary.

Conclusion

- 78.** At our meeting of 11 March 2021 we considered Paper 2554 and the views expressed by respondents to our consultations before agreeing our Final Proposals for North Ayrshire Council area as set out in Part 1.
- 79.** In considering responses to our consultations we have acted in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018, the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004, and the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973. Certain of those provisions are amended by the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020, and following its enactment (it received Royal Assent on 8 July 2020) we were aware that our proposals would be submitted after their commencement. We have, therefore, exercised our functions, where we have considered it to be necessary or expedient, in anticipation of those provisions in the 2020 Act being commenced in order to give full effect to those provisions at the time our Report is submitted.
- 80.** When developing our Final Proposals we considered the issues raised and suggestions made during our consultations. We agreed that the information we had available was sufficient to reach a decision for North Ayrshire Council area and that there was not a need for further consultation or a local inquiry.
- 81.** We adopted suggestions from North Ayrshire Council when developing our Final Proposals because they better reflected the Council's Locality boundaries which we had sought to follow throughout the area.
- 82.** We believe that our proposals fully meet all requirements of the legislation and provide for effective and convenient local government for North Ayrshire Council area.
- 83.** All papers and minutes of meetings relating to our consideration of North Ayrshire Council area are available on our website: www.boundaries.scot.
- 84.** The timetable for this Review of electoral arrangements and index of our meetings, papers and minutes concerning North Ayrshire Council area is at Appendix B.
- 85.** A digitised description of the ward boundaries in the form of GIS shapefiles has been securely stored on digital media storage at the date of publication of our report.
- 86.** Our report has also been deposited for public inspection at offices designated by the Council and a news release announcing the publication of our report has also been issued.
- 87.** Our report is available on our website at www.boundaries.scot.

Appendix A

Legislation governing our work as of 14 May 2021

1. The legislative framework for these reviews is set out in the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, as amended. In particular, section 28 and Schedule 6 sets out the rules to be observed:

Schedule 6 – Rules to be observed in considering electoral arrangements

1 (1) This schedule applies to the consideration by Scottish Ministers or the Boundary Commission of electoral arrangements for election of councillors of local government areas.

(2) Having regard to any change in the number or distribution of electors of a local government area likely to take place within the period of five years immediately following the consideration, the number calculated by dividing the number of local government electors in each electoral ward of that local government area by the number of councillors to be returned in that ward shall be, as nearly as may be, the same.

(3) Subject to sub-paragraph (2) above, in considering the electoral arrangements referred to in sub-paragraph (1) above regard shall be had to –

- (a) the desirability of fixing boundaries which are and will remain easily identifiable;
- (b) any local ties which would be broken by the fixing of any particular boundary but if, in any case, there is a conflict between those criteria, greater weight shall be given to the latter.

2. The strict application of the rule stated in paragraph 1(2) above may be departed from in any area where special geographical considerations appear to render a departure desirable.

2. The requirement for this review at this time is set out in the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018:

20 Review of wards in certain local government areas

(1) As soon as practicable after the commencement of this section, the Local Government Boundary Commission for Scotland (“Boundary Commission”) must—

- (a) review the electoral arrangements for each local government area mentioned in subsection (2), for the purpose of considering future electoral arrangements for those areas, and
- (b) formulate proposals for those arrangements.

(2) The local government areas are the areas of—

- (a) Argyll and Bute Council,
- (b) Comhairle nan Eilean Siar,
- (c) Highland Council,
- (d) North Ayrshire Council,
- (e) Orkney Islands Council,
- (f) Shetland Islands Council.

3. With regards to numbers of councillors per ward allowed, section 1 of the Local Governance (Scotland) Act 2004, as amended by the Islands Scotland Act 2018 and the Scottish Elections (Reform) Act 2020 provides:

1 Electoral wards

(1) Each local government area shall be divided into electoral wards; and there shall be a separate election for each electoral ward.

(2) The number of councillors to be returned in an electoral ward shall be two, three, four or five, as determined by order made under section 17 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (c. 65) (“the 1973 Act”) (regulations giving effect to proposals made by Boundaries Scotland after, in particular, complying with the duty in section 28(2), and schedule 6, of the 1973 Act).

(2A) But, in relation to an electoral ward consisting wholly or partly of one or more inhabited islands (within the meaning of section 1(2) of the Islands (Scotland) Act 2018), an order made under section 17 of the 1973 Act may determine that the number of councillors to be returned is one.

(3) Different numbers may be determined in relation to different electoral wards.

Appendix B

Index of meeting papers and Commission meetings

Date	Meeting Papers
4 December 2017	Paper 2429 Islands Reviews: councillor numbers methodology
4 February 2020	Paper 2519 Review of Electoral Arrangements, North Ayrshire Council area: preliminary consideration of Initial Proposals.
7 April 2020	Paper 2526 Review of Electoral Arrangements, North Ayrshire Council area: Agree Initial Proposals
16 July to 30 September 2020	2-month statutory consultation period with North Ayrshire Council
12 October 2020	Paper 2533 Islands Reviews: consideration of Initial Proposals for North Ayrshire Council area
4 November 2020 to 26 January 2021	12-week public consultation period
11 March 2021	Paper 2554 Islands Reviews: Final Proposals for North Ayrshire Council area
June 2021	Commission submits its reports to Scottish Ministers

Boundaries Scotland
Thistle House
91 Haymarket Terrace
Edinburgh
EH12 5HD

www.boundaries.scot

Telephone: 0131 244 2001
Email: boundaries.scot@scottishboundaries.gov.uk
Twitter: [@boundaries_scot](https://twitter.com/boundaries_scot)